

Exhibit E, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0017245 Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet				
Evaluator				
Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
1	Experience (5%)			
1.1	Evaluate the Applicant's experience successfully implementing projects similar in scope to the requirements of this RFA.	5%		
	Subtotal	5%		
2	Recruiting, Assessing, and Training Support Families (15%)			
2.1	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed plan related to identification and outreach.	5%		
2.2	Evaluate the Applicant's standards for assessing support families.	5%		
2.3	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed plan to train support families.	5%		
	Subtotal	15%		
3	Matching Child with Family (15%)			
3.1	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed plan to resolve situations in which birth families do not agree with the selection of potential support families.	8%		
3.2	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed plan to ensure birth families and support families agree on parental responsibilities from both parties.	7%		
	Subtotal	15%		
4	Family Support Services (15%)			
4.1	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed plan to ensure birth families and support families access to ongoing services with the six (6) family support elements.	15%		
	Subtotal	15%		
5	Permanency Planning (15%)			
5.1	Evaluate the Applicant's understanding of the Permanency Planning process.	5%		
5.2	Evaluate the Applicant's most common strategies used within Permanency Plans.	5%		
5.3	Evaluate the Applicant's typical timeline for Permanency Planning.	5%		
	Subtotal	15%		
6	Capability and Implementation (10%)			
6.1	Evaluate the Applicant's proposed plan for statewide service delivery with the capacity to provide the services in all geographic areas of the state and ability to serve the culturally diverse populations in Texas.	10%		
	Subtotal	10%		
7	Budget (25%)			
7.1	Evaluate the Applicant's Requested Budget justification for cost and meeting the RFA requirements.	25%		
	Subtotal	25%		
	TOTAL (%)	100%		

Exhibit E, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0017245		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

Exhibit E, Evaluation Tool RFA No. HHS0017245		
No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Experience	5%
2	Recruiting, Assessing, and Training Support Families	15%
3	Matching Child with Family	15%
4	Family Support Services	15%
5	Permanency Planning	15%
6	Capability and Implementation	10%
7	Budget	25%
	GRAND TOTAL	100%